Student Name:

Student Number:

McGill University Faculty of Science FINAL EXAMINATION

MATH 423 Regression and Analysis of Variance December 9th, 2008 9 a.m. - 12 Noon

Calculators are allowed.

One 8.5"  $\times$  11" two-sided sheet of notes is allowed.

All language dictionaries are allowed.

Answer all your questions in the exam booklet provided.

You must do BOTH of the first two questions (Questions 1 and 2) and TWO of the remaining THREE questions (Questions 3 through 5). You will receive points from the two questions with the highest marks from Questions 3, 4, and 5.

Question 6 is worth 10 bonus points. You will not lose points on the exam for any work on this question, you can only add points for any correct work that you provide.

There are 11 pages to this exam. The total number of marks for the exam is 100, although it is possible score as high as 110 due to the bonus question.

Examiner: Professor Russell Steele Associate Examiner: Professor David Stephens

## Question 1: (30 points)

The data for this analysis concern salary and other characteristics of all faculty in a small Midwestern college collected in the early 1980s for presentation in legal proceedings for which discrimination against women in salary was at issue. All persons in the data hold tenured or tenure track positions; temporary faculty are not included. The data were collected from personnel files and consist of the following quantities:

- Sex: 1 for female and 0 for male
- Rank:, 1 for Assistant Professor, 2 for Associate Professor, and 3 for Full Professor
- Year: Number of years in current rank
- Salary: Academic year salary in dollars
- (a) Test for significance of gender, without controlling for the other variables. Refer clearly to the part(s) of the output that you are using for your tests. Use a significance level of  $\alpha = 0.10$  for the test.
- (b) Test for a significant of gender after controlling for rank and number of years in current rank. Use a significance level of  $\alpha = 0.10$  for the test. Is your answer the same as in part (a)? Explain why or why not.
- (c) Interpret the coefficients for **rank** in the model in part (b).
- (d) Assess the validity of the model assumptions and the potential for misleading results due to influential points for model (2).
- (e) Test whether the association of gender with the response depends on the rank.

## Question 2: (20 points)

Assume that  $y_i \sim \text{Binomial}(p_i, m)$  where  $p_i = \beta_0 + x_i \beta_1$  for i = 1, ..., n.

- (a) List the assumptions of the usual linear regression model and whether or not they are satisfied for this situation.
- (b) Prove that the usual simple linear regression estimator  $\hat{\beta}_1$  be unbiased for the true  $\beta_1$ .
- (c) Find an expression for the variance of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  using ordinary least squares for this problem.
- (d) What are the fitted values from this regression estimates of? Will they potentially be difficult to interpret? Why or why not?

## Question 3: (25 points)

Assume that we are testing between two multiple linear regression model,

where **y** and  $\epsilon$  are  $(n \times 1)$ , the  $\epsilon_i$  are independent and identically distributed and  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Also assume that **X**<sub>1</sub> is  $(n \times p)$  and **X**<sub>2</sub> is  $(n \times q)$ .

- (a) Write down the F-statistic that you would use to test the null hypothesis that  $\beta_2 = 0$ .
- (b) Write down the the Mallow's  $C_p$  criterion values for Model A and Model B.
- (c) Show that one of the two Mallow's  $C_p$  criterion values can be expressed in terms of the F-statistic for comparing the models that you wrote down in part (a).
- (d) Discuss the implications of choosing a model according to the F-test as compared to Mallow's  $C_p$  based on your answer to (c).

### Question 4: (25 points)

Assume that the model for the data is the standard multiple linear regression model,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon$$

where the  $\epsilon_i$  are independent and identically distributed and  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

- (a) Describe what EXACTLY is plotted in a partial regression (or added-variable) plot for a column of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- (b) Describe what EXACTLY is plotted in a partial residual (or component-residual) plot for a column of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- (c) When will the partial regression plot look exactly the same as the partial residual plot?
- (d) Describe the difference between the notions of leverage and influence in a regression model and how one might measure each of these things.

#### Question 5: (25 points)

Assume that the model for the data is the standard multiple linear regression model,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where the  $\epsilon_i$  are independent and identically distributed and  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0,\sigma^2)$ . Let H be the hat matrix for a regression of  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $\mathbf{X}$ . Let U be a  $n \times 1$  vector with 1 as its first element and 0's elsewhere.

- (a) Consider using U (not y) as the response variable in a regression with  $n \times p$  design matrix **X**. Show that elements of the vector of fitted values from the regression of U on X are the  $h_{1j}$  (j = 1, ..., n) elements of the usual hat matrix for design matrix X.
- (b) Show the vector of residuals from the regression have  $(1 h_{ij})$  have  $1 h_{ij}$  as the first element and the other elements are  $-h_{ij}$ .
- (c) Two matrices A and B are considered to be *orthogonal* if AB = BA = 0. Show that I H and H are orthogonal.
- (d) Use the result in part (c) to show that as long a column for an intercept is included in  $\mathbf{X}$ , then the true slope of the regression of  $\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{y} \hat{\mathbf{y}})$  on  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  is 0, where  $\hat{y}$  is the vector of fitted values from a regression of  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- (e) What is the slope of the regression of e on y?

### BONUS: Question 6 (up to 10 extra marks)

Assume that  $y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_i, \sigma^2 \mu_i^4)$  where  $\mu_i = \beta_0 + x_i \beta_1$ . Find the variance stabilizing transformation for  $y_i$ .

```
> ### Regression output for Question 1
>
> #### Model (1)
>
> model1<-lm(Salary~Sex,data=salary)</pre>
> summary(model1)
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                            938 26.330
(Intercept)
               24697
                                          <2e-16 ***
               -3340
                           1808 -1.847
                                          0.0706 .
Sex1
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
                                                   1
Residual standard error: 5782 on 50 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.0639, Adjusted R-squared: 0.04518
F-statistic: 3.413 on 1 and 50 DF, p-value: 0.0706
> anova(model1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: Salary
          Df
                 Sum Sq
                           Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
             114106220 114106220
Sex
           1
                                     3.413 0.0706 .
Residuals 50 1671623638
                          33432473
____
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
>
>
```

```
> ### Model (2)
>
> model2<-lm(Salary~Sex+Rank+Year,data=salary)</pre>
> summary(model2)
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                         797.49 19.946 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept) 15906.81
Sex1
              524.15
                         834.69
                                0.628
                                           0.533
Rank2
            4373.92
                         906.12 4.827 1.51e-05 ***
Rank3
            9483.84
                         912.79 10.390 9.19e-14 ***
Year
              390.94
                         75.38 5.186 4.47e-06 ***
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
                                                   1
Residual standard error: 2418 on 47 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.8462, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8331
F-statistic: 64.64 on 4 and 47 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
> anova(model2)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: Salary
          Df
                           Mean Sq F value
                 Sum Sq
                                              Pr(>F)
Sex
           1
             114106220
                         114106220 19.524 5.819e-05 ***
Rank
           2 1239752324
                         619876162 106.063 < 2.2e-16 ***
Year
                         157183229 26.895 4.473e-06 ***
           1
             157183229
Residuals 47 274688086
                           5844427
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
                                                 1
>
>
```

```
> ### Model (3)
>
> model3<-lm(Salary~Rank+Year,data=salary)</pre>
> summary(model3)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                        638.68 25.370 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept) 16203.27
Rank2
            4262.28
                        882.89 4.828 1.45e-05 ***
Rank3
            9454.52
                        905.83 10.437 6.12e-14 ***
Year
             375.70
                         70.92 5.298 2.90e-06 ***
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
                                                  1
Residual standard error: 2402 on 48 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.8449, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8352
F-statistic: 87.15 on 3 and 48 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
> anova(model3)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: Salary
          Df
                Sum Sq
                          Mean Sq F value
                                             Pr(>F)
Rank
          2 1346783800 673391900 116.692 < 2.2e-16 ***
             161953324 161953324 28.065 2.905e-06 ***
Year
          1
Residuals 48 276992734
                          5770682
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
>
>
```

```
> ## Model (4)
>
> model4<-lm(Salary~Rank*Sex + Year,data=salary)</pre>
> summary(model4)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                        855.91 18.638 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept) 15952.10
Rank2
            4383.11
                       1063.99 4.119 0.000161 ***
Rank3
            8975.97 1133.16 7.921 4.49e-10 ***
Sex1
            244.50
                       1159.16 0.211 0.833894
Year
             409.90
                         78.21 5.241 4.10e-06 ***
                       2188.78 -0.484 0.630791
Rank2:Sex1 -1059.19
Rank3:Sex1 1582.95
                       1836.99 0.862 0.393417
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
                                                  1
Residual standard error: 2432 on 45 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.8509, Adjusted R-squared: 0.831
F-statistic: 42.8 on 6 and 45 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
> anova(model4)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: Salary
         Df
                Sum Sq
                          Mean Sq F value
                                              Pr(>F)
          2 1346783800 673391900 113.8150 < 2.2e-16 ***
Rank
Sex
               7074743
                          7074743
                                    1.1958
                                              0.2800
          1
Year
          1
                        157183229 26.5667 5.494e-06 ***
            157183229
Rank:Sex
                                   0.7135
          2
               8443427
                          4221713
                                              0.4954
Residuals 45 266244659
                          5916548
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
                                                1
```

```
> ### Comparisons
> anova(model1,model2)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Salary ~ Sex
Model 2: Salary ~ Sex + Rank + Year
  Res.Df
               RSS Df Sum of Sq F
                                          Pr(>F)
1
     50 1671623638
2
     47 274688086 3 1396935552 79.673 < 2.2e-16 ***
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
> anova(model3,model2)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Salary ~ Rank + Year
Model 2: Salary ~ Sex + Rank + Year
  Res.Df
              RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
1
     48 276992734
2
     47 274688086 1
                       2304648 0.3943 0.5331
> anova(model1,model3)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Salary ~ Sex
Model 2: Salary ~ Rank + Year
 Res.Df
               RSS Df Sum of Sq F
                                          Pr(>F)
1
     50 1671623638
2
     48 276992734 2 1394630904 120.84 < 2.2e-16 ***
___
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
```

```
> anova(model4,model1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Salary ~ Rank * Sex + Year
Model 2: Salary ~ Sex
  Res.Df
                 RSS Df
                          Sum of Sq
                                        F
                                             Pr(>F)
1
      45
           266244659
2
      50 1671623638 -5 -1405378979 47.507 < 2.2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
                                                 1
> anova(model4,model2)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Salary ~ Rank * Sex + Year
Model 2: Salary ~ Sex + Rank + Year
  Res.Df
              RSS Df Sum of Sq
                                    F Pr(>F)
1
      45 266244659
2
      47 274688086 -2 -8443427 0.7135 0.4954
> anova(model4,model3)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Salary ~ Rank * Sex + Year
Model 2: Salary ~ Rank + Year
  Res.Df
              RSS Df Sum of Sq
                                    F Pr(>F)
1
      45 266244659
2
      48 276992734 -3 -10748075 0.6055 0.6148
> ## Influence measures
> summary(influence.measures(model2))
Potentially influential observations of
 lm(formula = Salary ~ Sex + Rank + Year, data = salary) :
   dfb.1_ dfb.Sex1 dfb.Rnk2 dfb.Rnk3 dfb.Year dffit
                                                      cov.r
                                                              cook.d hat
1 -0.13
         0.05
                  -0.06
                           -0.05
                                     0.27
                                               0.31
                                                      1.41_* 0.02
                                                                      0.24
7 -0.01 -0.09
                  -0.06
                           -0.15
                                      0.12
                                              -0.21
                                                       1.32_* 0.01
                                                                      0.18
24 -0.61
                           0.95
                                     0.03
         1.28_*
                   0.35
                                               1.76_* 0.16_* 0.42
                                                                      0.12
```



Figure 1: Regression diagnostics for Model 2