

FACULTY OF SCIENCE

FINAL EXAMINATION

MATHEMATICS MATH 355

Analysis 4

Examiner: Professor S. W. Drury

Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2007

Associate Examiner: Professor K. N. GowriSankaran

Time: 2: 00 pm. – 5: 00 pm.

INSTRUCTIONS

**Attempt six questions for full credit.**

**This is a closed book examination.**

**Write your answers in the booklets provided.**

**All questions are of equal weight, each is allotted 20 marks.**

**This exam has 7 questions and 10 pages**

1. (i) (4 points) Define the concepts field and  $\sigma$ -field.
- (ii) (2 points) Define the concept of premeasure on a field and measure on a  $\sigma$ -field.
- (iii) (2 points) Define the concept of outer measure.
- (iv) (4 points) State the Carathéodory Extension Theorem.
- (v) (8 points) If  $\mu$  is a premeasure on a field  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  and  $\mu^*$  is the outer measure it defines on  $X$  by the equation  $\mu^*(A) = \inf \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$  where the infimum is taken over all possible sequences of sets  $A_j \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ , show that for any subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  that  $\mu^*(A \cup B) + \mu^*(A \cap B) \leq \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B)$ .

**Solution:**

(i) Let  $X$  be a set. Then a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  is a field if and only if

- (a)  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (b)  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (c)  $A \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{F} \implies A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $X$  be a set. Then a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -field if and only if

- (a)  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (b)  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies X \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (c)  $A_k \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $k \in K$ ,  $K$  countable  $\implies \bigcup_{k \in K} A_k \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(ii) We now define the concept of a measure (premeasure) on a  $\sigma$ -field (field)  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  as a function  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

- (a)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (b)  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{k \in K} A_k\right) = \sum_{k \in K} \mu(A_k)$  whenever  $K$  is a countable index set and  $A_k$  are *pairwise disjoint* subsets of  $X$  with  $A_k \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\bigcup_{k \in K} A_k \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Note:** Tragically many students defined a premeasure as a finitely additive set function. This is incorrect.

(iii) An outer measure  $\theta$  on a set  $X$  is a map  $\theta : \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with the following properties

- (a)  $\theta(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (b) If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ , then  $\theta(A) \leq \theta(B)$ .
- (c)  $\theta\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta(A_j)$ .

(iv) Let  $\mu$  be a premeasure on a field  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists a measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  which agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(v) The easiest way is to use the Carathéodory Extension Theorem. Let the extension be  $(X, \mathcal{G}, \nu)$ . Then clearly we have  $\mu^*(A) = \inf_{A \subseteq G \in \mathcal{G}} \nu(G)$ , an equivalent way of rewriting the definition of  $\mu^*$  a posteriori. Now given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $P, Q \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $A \subseteq P, B \subseteq Q, \nu(P) < \mu^*(A) + \epsilon$  and  $\nu(Q) < \mu^*(B) + \epsilon$

From the three identities

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(P \cup Q) &= \nu(P \setminus Q) + \nu(P \cap Q) + \nu(Q \setminus P), \\ \nu(P) &= \nu(P \setminus Q) + \nu(P \cap Q), \\ \nu(Q) &= \nu(P \cap Q) + \nu(Q \setminus P).\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\nu(P) + \nu(Q) = \nu(P \setminus Q) + \nu(P \cap Q) + \nu(P \cap Q) + \nu(Q \setminus P) = \nu(P \cup Q) + \nu(P \cap Q).$$

But  $A \cup B \subseteq P \cup Q$  and  $A \cap B \subseteq P \cap Q$  so that

$$\mu^*(A \cup B) + \mu^*(A \cap B) \leq \nu(P \cup Q) + \nu(P \cap Q) = \nu(P) + \nu(Q) < \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B) + 2\epsilon.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  gives the desired result.

2. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space.

(i) (5 points) Under what conditions can one define  $\int f(x)d\mu(x)$  for a signed  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable function  $f$  on  $X$ ? In this case give the definition in terms of the integral of nonnegative  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable functions on  $X$ .

Let  $g$  be a nonnegative  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable function on  $X$  satisfying  $\int g(x)d\mu(x) < \infty$ .

(ii) (5 points) Prove Tchebychev's inequality  $\mu(\{x; g(x) > t\}) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int g(x)d\mu(x)$  for  $t > 0$ .

(iii) (10 points) Let  $\mu(X) = 1$  and let  $f$  be a signed  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable function such that  $\int f d\mu = 0$  and  $\int f^2 d\mu = 1$ . Show that  $\mu(\{x; f(x) > s\}) \leq \frac{1}{1+s^2}$  for  $s > 0$ .

*Hint:* Consider  $g(x) = (sf(x) + 1)^2$ .

**Solution:**

(i) The integral is only defined in case  $\int |f|d\mu < \infty$ . In this case, we define

$$f_+(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(x) = \begin{cases} -f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this way, we see that  $f_+ \geq 0$ ,  $f_- \geq 0$  and  $f = f_+ - f_-$ . Now, it is clear that  $f_{\pm} \leq |f|$  and that  $\int f_{\pm} d\mu < \infty$ . The definition  $\int f d\mu = \int f_+ d\mu - \int f_- d\mu$  makes sense as the difference of two finite nonnegative numbers.

(ii) Let  $A = \{x; g(x) > t\}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $g$  is nonnegative and  $g \geq t\mathbb{1}_A$ , it follows that

$$\int g d\mu \geq \int t\mathbb{1}_A d\mu = t\mu(A)$$

as required.

(iii) Using the hypotheses we have

$$\int g d\mu = \int (sf + 1)^2 d\mu = s^2 \int f^2 d\mu + 2s \int f d\mu + \mu(X) = s^2 + 1$$

But  $g$  is nonnegative and  $f(x) > s \implies g(x) > (s^2 + 1)^2$ , so taking  $t = (s^2 + 1)^2$ , we get

$$\mu(\{x; f(x) > s\}) \leq \frac{s^2 + 1}{(s^2 + 1)^2} = \frac{1}{s^2 + 1}$$

3. (i) (5 points) State the Monotone Convergence Theorem.

(ii) (5 points) State the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

(iii) (10 points) Find  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^4} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) dx$ . In answering the question you may use the inequality  $|\sin(u)| \leq \min(1, |u|)$ . Otherwise, justify all steps and for full credit simplify your answer as much as possible.

**Solution:**

(i) If  $f_n, f$  are nonnegative measurable functions and if  $f_n \uparrow f$  pointwise, then  $\int f_n d\mu \uparrow \int f d\mu$ .

(ii) Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of measurable functions and suppose that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise. Further suppose that there is a (nonnegative) function  $g$  such that  $|f_n| \leq g$  pointwise for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\int g d\mu < \infty$ , then necessarily

$$\int f_n d\mu \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu.$$

(iii) From the given inequality,  $n \left| \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \right| \leq x$  for  $x \geq 0$  and we know from L'Hôpital's Rule that  $n \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $f_n(x) = n \frac{1}{1+x^4} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$  we can take  $f(x) = g(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^4}$  in the Dominated Convergence Theorem. The value of the limit is

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x}{1+x^4} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}u}{1+u^2} du = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

4. (i) (5 points) Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T})$  be measurable spaces. Define  $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ .

If  $X$  is a metric space, we denote  $\mathcal{B}_X$ , its Borel  $\sigma$ -field.

(ii) (15 points) Prove in detail that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ .

**Solution:**

(i) A measurable rectangle is a subset of  $X \times Y$  of the form  $S \times T$  with  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ . We define  $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$  to be the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing the measurable rectangles.

(ii) To see that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , recall that every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a countable union of open rectangles  $J \times K$  where  $J, K$  are open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . This shows that every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  lies in the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The inclusion now follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ . The other direction is easier, but more involved. One starts from

$$A, B \text{ open} \implies A \times B \text{ open} \implies A \times B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}.$$

Now let  $A$  be a fixed open set then clearly

$$\{B; B \subseteq \mathbb{R}, A \times B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}\} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-field on } \mathbb{R} \text{ containing the open sets.}$$

It follows that

$$A \text{ open, } B \text{ borel} \implies A \times B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}.$$

Then, fix  $B$  borel the clearly

$$\{A; A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, A \times B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}\} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-field on } \mathbb{R} \text{ containing the open sets.}$$

We may deduce that

$$A, B \text{ borel} \implies A \times B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}.$$

Finally, since  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ .

5. (i) (5 points) State Tonelli's Theorem.  
 (ii) (5 points) State Fubini's Theorem.  
 (iii) (10 points) Starting from the identity

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \sin(ux) dx = \frac{u}{u^2 + s^2}$$

valid for  $s > 0$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \frac{1 - \cos(tx)}{x} dx = \frac{1}{2} \ln(s^2 + t^2) - \ln(s)$$

provided that  $s > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . *Hint:*  $\int_0^t \sin(ux) du = \frac{1 - \cos(tx)}{x}$ .

**Solution:**

(i) Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}, \nu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be  $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$  measurable. Then  $\varphi(x) = \int f(x, y) d\nu(y)$  and  $\psi(y) = \int f(x, y) d\mu(x)$  define nonnegative measurable functions on  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T})$  respectively and

$$\int \varphi(x) d\mu(x) = \int f(x, y) d(\mu \times \nu)(x, y) = \int \psi(y) d\nu(y).$$

(ii) Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}, \nu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$  measurable. Suppose that one of the three quantities

$$\iint |f(x, y)| d\nu(y) d\mu(x) = \int |f| d(\mu \times \nu) = \iint |f(x, y)| d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

is finite (they are all equal by Tonelli's Theorem). Then

$$\varphi(x) = \int f(x, y) d\nu(y) \text{ and } \psi(y) = \int f(x, y) d\mu(x)$$

almost everywhere (w.r.t  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively) define measurable functions on  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T})$  respectively, the integrals being absolutely convergent at almost every point, and

$$\int \varphi(x) d\mu(x) = \int f(x, y) d(\mu \times \nu)(x, y) = \int \psi(y) d\nu(y),$$

where these integrals also make sense as absolutely convergent integrals.

(iii) Since  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \ln(s^2 + t^2) - \ln(s)$  and  $t \mapsto 1 - \cos(tx)$  are even functions, there is no loss in assuming that  $t \geq 0$ .

Assuming that Fubini's Theorem can be applied, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \frac{1 - \cos(tx)}{x} dx &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^t e^{-sx} \sin(ux) du dx \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \sin(ux) dx du \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{u}{u^2 + s^2} du \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln(s^2 + t^2) - \ln(s) \end{aligned}$$

To justify, the measure spaces are certainly  $\sigma$ -finite, the integrand  $(x, u) \mapsto e^{-sx} \sin(ux)$  is continuous and hence Borel and we have

$$\int_0^t \int_0^\infty |e^{-sx} \sin(ux)| dx du \leq \int_0^t \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dx du = \frac{t}{s} < \infty$$

for  $s > 0$ .

6. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -field on  $[0, \infty[$  and  $d\mu(x) = e^{-x} dx$ . Consider the linear subspace  $M$  of  $H = L^2([0, \infty[, \mathcal{L}, \mu)$  consisting of equivalence classes of functions that are periodic a.e. with period  $2\pi$ , i.e.

$$f(x + 2\pi) = f(x) \text{ a.a. } x \in [0, \infty[$$

- (i) (4 points) Show that  $M$  is itself an  $L^2$  space over a smaller  $\sigma$ -field than  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (ii) (4 points) Deduce that  $M$  is a *closed* linear subspace of  $H$ . What fact are you using here?
- (iii) (4 points) Show that for  $f, g \in H$ ,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(x + 2k\pi)} g(x + 2k\pi) e^{-x} dx$$

(iv) (4 points) Show that the closed linear span of the functions  $x \mapsto e^{inx}$  as  $n$  runs over all integers is the whole of  $M$ . What fact are you using here?

(v) (4 points) For an arbitrary member  $f$  of  $H$ , let  $h$  be its orthogonal projection on  $M$ . Show that

$$h(x) = (1 - e^{-2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi} f(x + 2k\pi),$$

for almost all  $x$  in  $[0, 2\pi[$  (and extended by periodicity for other values of  $x$ ).

**Solution:**

(i) Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $[0, \infty[$  that are a.e. periodic with period  $2\pi$ . Then it is routine to check that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field and that  $M = L^2([0, \infty[, \mathcal{G}, \mu)$ .

(ii) Since  $M$  is an  $L^2$  space, it is complete and hence is closed in any metric space that contains it isometrically, such as  $L^2([0, \infty[, \mathcal{L}, \mu)$ .

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \overline{f(x)} g(x) e^{-x} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{2k\pi}^{2(k+1)\pi} \overline{f(x)} g(x) e^{-x} dx \end{aligned}$$

by splitting up the range of integration and using Dominated Convergence,

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(x+2k\pi)} g(x+2k\pi) e^{-x-2k\pi} dx$$

by changing variables in each of the inner integrals

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty e^{-2k\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{f(x+2k\pi)} g(x+2k\pi) e^{-x} dx$$

(iv) The trigonometric system  $e_n(x) = e^{inx}$  (for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2([0, 2\pi[, \mathcal{L}, dx/2\pi)$  and so its closed linear span is the whole of  $L^2([0, 2\pi[, \mathcal{L}, dx/2\pi)$ . However, continuing from (iii) above, we have for  $f \in M$

$$\|f\|_H^2 = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 e^{-x} dx$$

So

$$C_1 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq \|f\|_H^2 \leq C_2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

for suitable  $C_1, C_2$  satisfying  $0 < C_1 < C_2 < \infty$ . Thus the restriction mapping  $f \mapsto f|_{[0, 2\pi[}$  is a one-to-one linear map of  $M$  onto  $L^2([0, 2\pi[, \mathcal{L}, dx/2\pi)$ . Furthermore on  $M$  the metric coming from  $H$  and the metric coming from  $L^2([0, 2\pi[, \mathcal{L}, dx/2\pi)$  are equivalent and have the same convergent sequences and therefore the same closed sets. It follows that  $M$  is also the closed linear span of  $e_n(x) = e^{inx}$  (for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) in the metric coming from  $H$ .

**Note:** The set of functions  $x \mapsto e^{inx}$  as  $n$  runs over all integers is not an orthonormal basis of  $M$ .

(v) Let  $g \in M$ . Then  $g \perp f - h$  or

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^\infty e^{-2k\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{g(x)} (f(x+2k\pi) - h(x)) e^{-x} dx$$



using the periodicity of  $g$  and  $h$ . Applying Fubini's Theorem, we get

$$0 = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{g(x)} \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi} f(x + 2k\pi) \right) - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi}} h(x) \right) e^{-x} dx.$$

Fubini's Theorem is valid since  $g$ ,  $h$  and  $x \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi} |f(x + 2k\pi)|$  are all  $L^2$  functions on  $[0, 2\pi[$  and the integrand is therefore dominated by a nonnegative  $L^1$  function by the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality. (Dominated convergence can also be used here). Finally, since  $g$  is arbitrary, we find

$$h(x) = (1 - e^{-2\pi}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi} f(x + 2k\pi),$$

for almost all  $x$  in  $[0, 2\pi[$ .

7. Consider the trigonometric polynomials  $P_m$  and  $Q_m$  defined for nonnegative integers  $m$  inductively as follows

$$P_0(t) = Q_0(t) = 1 \text{ and } P_{m+1}(t) = P_m(t) + e^{i2^m t} Q_m(t), \quad Q_{m+1}(t) = P_m(t) - e^{i2^m t} Q_m(t)$$

(i) (5 points) Show that  $P_1(t) = 1 + e^{it}$ ,  $Q_1(t) = 1 - e^{it}$ ,  $P_2(t) = 1 + e^{it} + e^{2it} - e^{3it}$  and  $Q_2(t) = 1 + e^{it} - e^{2it} + e^{3it}$ .

(ii) (5 points) Show that  $\widehat{P}_m(n) = 0$  if  $n < 0$  or if  $n \geq 2^m$  and that  $\widehat{P}_m(n) = 1$  or  $-1$  otherwise.

(iii) (5 points) Show that  $|P_{m+1}(t)|^2 + |Q_{m+1}(t)|^2 = 2(|P_m(t)|^2 + |Q_m(t)|^2)$  and deduce first that  $|P_m(t)|^2 + |Q_m(t)|^2 = 2^{m+1}$  for all  $t$  and then that  $\sup_t |P_m(t)| \leq 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ .

(iv) (5 points) Show that  $\int_0^{2\pi} |P_m(t)|^2 dt = 2^{m+1}\pi$ .

**Note:** This question had a small error which has been corrected in this version.

**Solution:**

(i) According to the definitions,  $P_1 = P_0 + e^{it} Q_0 = 1 + e^{it}$ ,  $Q_1 = P_0 - e^{it} Q_0 = 1 - e^{it}$ ,  $P_2 = P_1 + e^{2it} Q_1 = 1 + e^{it} + e^{2it} - e^{3it}$  and  $Q_2 = P_1 - e^{2it} Q_1 = 1 + e^{it} - e^{2it} + e^{3it}$ .

(ii) Proof by induction using the induction hypothesis that

- $\widehat{P}_m(n) = 0$  if  $n < 0$  or if  $n \geq 2^m$  and that  $\widehat{P}_m(n) = 1$  or  $-1$  otherwise.

- $\widehat{Q}_m(n) = 0$  if  $n < 0$  or if  $n \geq 2^m$  and that  $\widehat{Q}_m(n) = 1$  or  $-1$  otherwise.

which clearly starts correctly. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{P}_m(n) &= \widehat{P}_{m-1}(n) + \widehat{Q}_{m-1}(n - 2^{m-1}) \\ \widehat{Q}_m(n) &= \widehat{P}_{m-1}(n) - \widehat{Q}_{m-1}(n - 2^{m-1})\end{aligned}$$

and we check using the induction hypothesis that  $P$  (respectively  $Q$ ) satisfies

$$\widehat{P}_m(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < 0 \text{ since } \widehat{P}_{m-1}(n) = \widehat{Q}_{m-1}(n - 2^{m-1}) = 0, \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq n < 2^{m-1} \text{ since } \widehat{P}_{m-1}(n) = \pm 1, \widehat{Q}_{m-1}(n - 2^{m-1}) = 0, \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } 2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m \text{ since } \widehat{P}_{m-1}(n) = 0, \widehat{Q}_{m-1}(n - 2^{m-1}) = \pm 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 2^m \text{ since } \widehat{P}_{m-1}(n) = \widehat{Q}_{m-1}(n - 2^{m-1}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}& |P_{m+1}(t)|^2 + |Q_{m+1}(t)|^2 \\ &= |P_m(t) + e^{i2^m t} Q_m(t)|^2 + |P_m(t) - e^{i2^m t} Q_m(t)|^2 \\ &= |P_m(t)|^2 + |Q_m(t)|^2 + 2\Re\left(\overline{P_m(t)} e^{i2^m t} Q_m(t)\right) + |P_m(t)|^2 + |Q_m(t)|^2 - 2\Re\left(\overline{P_m(t)} e^{i2^m t} Q_m(t)\right) \\ &= 2\left(|P_m(t)|^2 + |Q_m(t)|^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

and a simple induction argument gives the required conclusion. Since  $|P_m(t)|^2 + |Q_m(t)|^2 = 2^{m+1}$ , it follows that  $|P_m(t)|^2 \leq 2^{m+1}$  for all  $t$  and hence  $|P_m(t)| \leq 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}$ .

(iv) From the Plancherel Theorem,  $\int_0^{2\pi} |P_m(t)|^2 dt = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{P}_m(n) \right|^2 = 2^{m+1} \pi$ , from (ii) above.

\*                     \*                     \*                     \*                     \*